

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,991	0	02/27/2004	Paul A. Farrar	2269-5570.1US (02-1122.01	6858
24247	7590	07/13/2005		EXAM	INER
TRASK BR P.O. BOX 25			GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, .			2812	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,991	FARRAR, PAUL A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Claffer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of third seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 Anril 2004	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•
	- - -	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to ∣	by the Examiner.
,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Applicant may not request that any objection to	prrection is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	prrection is required if the drawing (see Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152.
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	prrection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	prrection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § nents have been received.	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § nents have been received. The priority documents have been received in A priority documents have been	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. In a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. In a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. In a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received.
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing one Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. In a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received. LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing to the Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. The nents have been received in Apriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The list of the certified copies not the certified cop	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received. LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 ummary (PTO-413)
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing to the Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. The nents have been received in Apriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The list of the certified copies not the certified co	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received. LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	rection is required if the drawing to the Examiner. Note the attached reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. The nents have been received in Apriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The list of the certified copies not the certified co	S) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received. LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 2/27/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Note that the US references have been considered. However, the literature documents have not been considered for the above given reasons.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nguyen et al. (US 5,904,565, dated 5/18/99).

Nguyen shows the method as claimed in figures 1-20 and corresponding text in a damascene method with substrate 32, a dielectric 36 having a trench 40 filled selectively with metal 48 and barrier 62 which is both conductive and non-conductive (i.e., see column 6, lines 10-16, column 7, lines 40-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/788,991

Art Unit: 2812

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 2-5, 9-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al. (US 5,904,565, dated 5/18/99).

Nguyen shows the method substantially as claimed, and as shown in the previous paragraphs.

Nguyen lacks anticipation only in not teaching the materials of the dielectric, methods and details of deposition of the metal layer by implantation; formation parameters associated with deposition of the barrier including nitrogen exposure.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have taught the materials of the dielectric, methods and details of deposition of the metal layer by implantation; and, formation parameters associated with deposition of the barrier including nitrogen exposure, in the method of Nguyen, with the motivation that these associated parameters and deposition methods are conventional alternatives and produce equivalent quality devices.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See the PTO Form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 2812

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner TC 2800, Art Unit 2812

LAG July 11, 2005